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A. Introduction

The colony-stimulating factors (CSFs) form a family of hemopoietic growth factors controlling the survival, proliferation and differentiation of hemopoietic progenitor cells as well as the functional activities of the mature cells in the tissues [1]. In the mouse, four distinct CSFs controlling granulocyte and macrophage production have been identified, purified and, in two cases, molecularly cloned – multi-CSF or interleukin-3, GM-CSF, M-CSF or CSF-1, and G-CSF [2, 3]. Human equivalents of GM-CSF (CSFa, NIF-T or pluripoietin α), G-CSF (CSFβ or pluripoietin) and M-CSF have also been purified and recently cloned [2–6] although no convincing equivalent of murine multi-CSF has yet been identified (Table 1).

A great deal is now known about the biological activities of each of these CSFs in vitro and more recently in vivo [7, 8], and these activities raise some interesting questions. First, some CSFs have broad cellular specificities (multi-CSF and GM-CSF) while other CSFs have very restricted or single-lineage specificities (M-CSF and G-CSF) (Table 1). How is this overlap in cellular specificities mediated and how do the CSFs co-ordinate to control individual cell populations? Second, some of the CSFs show a concentration dependence in the types of colonies stimulated – for example, at low concentrations GM-CSF stimulates the formation of only macrophage colonies, but at higher concentrations it also stimulates granulocyte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Murine CSF</th>
<th>Other names</th>
<th>Cell source</th>
<th>Cellular specificity</th>
<th>Human equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multi-CSF</td>
<td>Interleukin-3, PSF</td>
<td>T cells, WEHI-3B</td>
<td>G, M, Eo, E, Meg, Blast</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCGF, MCGF, BPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>MGI-1GM, CSF-2</td>
<td>T cells, Endothelial cells</td>
<td>G, M, Eo</td>
<td>CSF-α, pluripoietin-α, NIF-T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>MGI-1M, CSF-1</td>
<td>Fibroblasts</td>
<td>M &gt; G</td>
<td>M-CSF, CSF-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>MGI-1G, DF</td>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>G &gt; M</td>
<td>CSF-β, pluripoietin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G, Granulocyte; M, macrophage; Eo, eosinophil; Meg, megakaryocyte; Mast, mast cell; MGI, macrophage, granulocyte inducer; HCGF, hemopoietic cell growth factor; MCGF, mast cell growth factor; BPA, burst-promoting activity; PSF, P-cell-stimulating factor; DF, differentiation factor.

* For a list of references see Metcalf [2].
colony formation [9], while the reverse situation holds for G-CSF [10]. What is the cellular and molecular basis for this altered cell specificity with CSF concentration? Third, for normal hemopoietic progenitor cells the action of CSF results in a tight coupling between cell proliferation and cell differentiation, yet in factor-dependent cell lines the actions of multi-CSF and GM-CSF are associated only with cell proliferation [11] and in myeloid leukemias cell proliferation and differentiation are uncoupled or loosely coupled [12]. In some types of murine myeloid leukemias, G-CSF (but not the other CSFs) is able to overcome this uncoupling and induce terminal differentiation [13]. How is the coupling between proliferation and differentiation in normal progenitor cells mediated by CSFs, how does uncoupling occur in cell lines and leukemias, and why does G-CSF have a special role among the CSFs in differentiation induction? It was to try and answer some of these questions that we undertook a systematic study of the cellular specificities of CSF-binding interactions and a molecular analysis of the cross-interactions of CSFs at the receptor level.

B. Molecular Characteristics of CSF Receptors and Their Binding Interactions

Using radioiodinated derivatives of purified or recombinant murine CSFs the molecular nature of all four CSF receptors has now been determined by chemical cross-linking. The M-CSF receptor is a mol.wt. 165000 [14] single-chain glycoprotein closely related or identical to the c-fms proto-oncogene [15], and the G-CSF receptor is a single-chain protein of mol.wt. 150000 [16]. The GM-CSF receptor is a smaller (mol.wt. 55000) protein [17] and, while the multi-CSF receptor is also relatively small, experiments have revealed cross-linking to two non-covalently attached subunits with mol.wt. of 75000 and 60000 [16] (Fig. 1). There is no evidence for different forms of these receptors on different normal cell populations, continuous cell lines or leukemic cells.

Binding studies at 4 °C and 37 °C have revealed that for all four CSFs the apparent
The dissociation constant is low (20 pM to 1 nM) and for most CSFs the number of receptors on responsive cells is low (less than a thousand receptors per cell) [17–22]. M-CSF is the exception, with some normal macrophages displaying up to 50,000 receptors per cell [23]. On normal cells or cell lines each receptor binding site appears to be unique and specific for its cognate ligand, with no cross-reactivity with other CSFs or several other growth factors tested when binding competition experiments are performed at temperatures of less than 10 °C. Based on the apparent dissociation constants for CSF binding and the known CSF concentrations required for half-maximal biological activity, it might be concluded that biological effects of the CSFs are exerted at low levels of receptor occupancy (i.e. there are spare receptors). This is especially surprising in view of the low levels of CSF receptors on responding cells, but this interpretation is complicated by the kinetic aspects of CSF binding interactions.

The kinetic rates of association of CSFs with their receptors are very fast, reflecting an essentially diffusion-controlled process. For G-CSF at 40 pM and 37 °C the half-time for association with receptors on murine leukemic WEHI-3B D+ cells is about 7 min and the kinetic association constant is $2.6 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$. In contrast, the kinetic dissociation rate is very slow ($T'_{1/2}$ of 6 h at 0 °C and apparent $T'_{1/2}$ of 5 h at 37 °C) even at high dilution of the cells with excess unlabeled G-CSF to prevent re-binding of $^{125}\text{I}$ G-CSF. Calculation of the dissociation constant from the ratio of kinetic dissociation to association constants gives a value of 1 pM for G-CSF binding to WEHI-3B D+ cells at 37 °C, a result much lower than that determined by Scatchard analysis of pseudo-equilibrium binding data (70 pM) but much closer to the concentration required for half-maximal biological effect (differentiation induction) on WEHI-3B D+ cells. This situation parallels that already described for M-CSF binding to macrophages [23, 24].

At 37 °C the apparent kinetic dissociation rate does not reflect only ligand dissociation from its receptor, and Scatchard analysis does not reflect a true equilibrium between ligand and receptor. This is because the CSFs, like most other growth factors, are internalized along with their receptors after binding at 37 °C and are degraded intracellularly [24]. The binding data at 37 °C thus reflect a steady state (achieved within 1–6 h) where the rate of internalization and degradation of CSF-receptor complexes is balanced by the rate of expression of new receptors at the cell surface. The rates of internalization and degradation of CSF-receptor complexes vary for the different CSFs and for the same CSF in different cell types [24]. For example, M-CSF is rapidly internalized and degraded in macrophages ($T'_{1/2}$ of several minutes), while multi-CSF is much more slowly internalized in bone marrow cells ($T'_{1/2}$ of 1 h).

The slow dissociation kinetics of CSF-receptor complexes and their relatively rapid internalization at 37 °C mean that measurement of receptor levels on cells obtained from mice or on cell lines that need to be maintained continuously in CSF-containing media need to be interpreted with caution, since they will depend on the past history of the cells.

C. Cellular Specificity of CSF Binding

Several cell lines have been described that display receptors for one or more murine CSF. In principle, these cell lines should provide a homogeneous population of cells with which to study CSF-receptor interactions, but in practice autoradiographic analysis has revealed considerable intraclonal heterogeneity in receptor content not clearly rated to cell cycle or differentiation status. Of several murine cell lines tested, only two displayed specific binding of $^{125}\text{I}$ G-CSF – the J774 macrophage cell line and WEHI-3B D+ murine myelomonocytic leukemic cells which respond to G-CSF by differentiation induction. These two cell lines displayed very similar receptor numbers and apparent binding affinities for G-CSF [25]. Of special interest was the observation that the derived cell line WEHI-3B D−, which does not respond to G-CSF, had lost nearly all detectable binding sites for G-CSF, providing a ready explanation for its lack of inducibility for differentiation [18]. Cell au-
toradiographic analysis of the binding of $^{125}$I G-CSF to murine bone marrow cells [19] revealed a cellular pattern of receptor distribution highly consistent with its biological specificity. Binding was restricted to neutrophilic granulocytes and their precursors and to a lesser extent to monocytic cells but was absent from eosinophils, erythroid cells and lymphocytes. Interestingly, receptor numbers were higher on neutrophils than on monocytes, were present on essentially all neutrophils and their precursors, and increased in number with cell differentiation [19]. The ability of G-CSF to stimulate functional activities of neutrophils is consistent with the presence of these receptors on postmitotic cells [26].

G-CSF, unlike the other three murine CSFs, is fully cross-reactive on human cells, and this allowed a study of the distribution of human G-CSF receptors on human bone marrow cells and human leukemic samples [20]. On human cells binding was again restricted to neutrophilic granulocytes and their precursors and to a lesser extent, monocytic cells, but, in contrast to the situation in the mouse, receptor numbers decreased somewhat with neutrophil differentiation. This cellular specificity was maintained with human leukemias, in that all myeloid leukemias showed specific binding of $^{125}$I G-CSF while lymphoid leukemias did not. The receptor content of myeloid leukemic cells was related to the granulocytic or monocytic nature of the leukemias, acute promyelocytic leukemias having the highest receptor content and monocytic leukemias having the lowest. Quantitative binding-competition studies revealed that murine and human G-CSF (CSFβ) competed for the same binding sites on murine or human cells and that their relative binding affinities for all receptors were nearly the same when their respective concentrations were normalized with respect to a common bioassay [19].

M-CSF binds to a variety of monocytic cell lines (including WEHI-3B D+ and J774) and to all normal populations of monocytic cells or macrophages but not to eosinophils, lymphocytes or erythroid cells [27]. There is some controversy about whether M-CSF binds to neutrophils and their precursors [27, 28], but if it does the receptor levels are lower than on monocytic cells. There is some evidence that M-CSF receptors increase with differentiation of monocyte/macrophages [27, 28].

Multi-CSF binds to all factor-dependent continuous hemopoietic cell lines tested (FDCP-1, 32DCl3, DA-3. NS60) as well as some independent cell lines (P815 mastocytoma and WEHI-3B D+) [21, 22], but the apparent binding affinities and receptor numbers vary widely. Multi-CSF also binds to all neutrophilic and eosinophilic granulocytes and all monocytes and their precursors but not to lymphocytes and erythroid cells [22]. In contrast to the binding patterns seen with G-CSF and M-CSF, there were similar multi-CSF receptor levels on neutrophils, monocytes and eosinophils, and in each case receptor numbers decreased with differentiation. $^{125}$I multi-CSF binding to murine bone marrow cells was also characterized by the presence of a very small number (less than 1%) of cells of various morphologies (blasts, promonocytes, metamyelocytes, eosinophils) that displayed very high receptor levels similar to those seen on factor-dependent continuous cell lines [22].

GM-CSF also binds to several cell lines, including some factor-dependent cell lines (FDCP-1), some macrophage cell lines (J774, WR19, RAW 264, R309), and WEHI-3B D+ myelomonocytic leukemic cells [17]. The distribution of receptors for GM-CSF on bone marrow cells is very similar to that of multi-CSF receptors, except that there are fewer GM-CSF receptors on eosinophils than on neutrophils or monocytes and there is no population of bone marrow cells with a very high GM-CSF receptor content (F. Walker, D. Metcalf, N.A. Nicola and A.W. Burgess, unpublished).

It is of special relevance that the majority of non-erythroid bone marrow cells display multiple CSF receptors. All neutrophilic granulocytes and their precursors display simultaneously receptors for multi-CSF, GM-CSF, G-CSF and possibly lower numbers of M-CSF receptors. All monocytic cells display receptors for multi-CSF, GM-CSF, and M-CSF, and a majority display lower numbers of G-CSF receptors. Eosinophils display multi-CSF and GM-CSF receptors but not G-CSF or M-CSF receptors. Lymphocytes and erythroid cells do not display
measurable levels of any of these CSF receptors. The display of multiple CSF receptors on granulocytes and macrophages means that they can respond to all of the CSFs directly and the possibility exists for receptor interactions on the same cell.

D. Indirect Receptor Modulations by the CSFs

Despite the fact that the four murine CSF receptors are distinct in both their molecular characteristics and their cellular distribution and that the binding of each CSF to bone marrow cells at 0 °C is not competed for by any of the other CSFs, there is evidence for indirect interactions between CSF receptors. When bone marrow cells were preincubated with one CSF at 37 °C the binding of other CSFs to their own receptors was reduced. This is an example of non-isologous receptor down-modulation, and it has been described for other growth factor receptor systems (for example, platelet-derived growth factor [29] and transforming growth factor β [30] both down-modulate epidermal growth factor receptors on some cell types).

The pattern of CSF-induced receptor down-modulations on bone marrow cells was quite striking, with multi-CSF being able to down-modulate all other CSF receptors, GM-CSF being able to down-modulate M-CSF and G-CSF receptors, and M-CSF being able to down-modulate GM-CSF receptors; G-CSF was able to down-modulate M-CSF receptors only at high concentrations. These down-modulations occurred at 37 °C but not at 0 °C, were generally rapid ($T_{1/2}$ 10–20 min) and were CSF-dose dependent [31] (Fig. 2). The common interpretation of receptor down-modulation is that it serves to limit the response of a cell to that receptor’s growth factor. However, we were struck by several correlations between the ability of CSFs to down-modulate receptors and their ability to express certain biological activities that suggested an alternative interpretation.

First, CSFs with the ability to induce multiple types of differentiated cells had the broadest capacity to down-modulate CSF receptors (multi-CSF down-modulated all CSF receptors and GM-CSF down-modulated G- and M-CSF receptors, while no CSFs other than multi-CSF down-modulated multi-CSF receptors). Second, the concentration dependence of CSF-induced differentiation matched the concentration dependence of that CSF’s ability to down-modulate the appropriate CSF receptors (GM-CSF down-modulated M-CSF receptors at low concentrations and G-CSF receptors at high concentrations, G-CSF down-modulated M-CSF receptors at high concentrations). Third, certain agents that activate neutrophils also down-modulate G-CSF receptors on neutrophils (the chemotactic peptide N-formyl methione leucine phenylalanine and bacterial lipopolysaccharide) [32].

These observations suggested that receptor down-modulation might result in activation of at least some of the biological activities of that receptor just as if it were occupied by the isologous ligand. Such a model proposes that multi-CSF and GM-CSF receptors deliver a mitogenic signal to a cell but, at the same time, indirectly activate lineage-
specific receptors that ultimately result in terminal differentiation. The advantages of such a model are: (a) it provides a unified explanation of the multiple differentiation specificities of some CSFs and their concentration dependence; (b) it provides a mechanism whereby proliferation and differentiation might be coupled in normal cells; (c) it makes clear predictions that such receptor cross-communication will be defective in some non-differentiating cell lines and leukemias and provides an explanation of the special role of G-CSF in differentiation induction of leukemias. With the availability of continuous cell lines and leukemic cell lines which display multiple CSF receptors, some of the predictions of this model can now be tested.
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