Clinical Use of Karyotype and Molecular Markers In Curing Acute Myeloid Leukemia



Clara D. Bloomfield, M.D. Distinguished University Professor The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, and Arthur G. James Cancer Hospital and Richard J. Solove Research Institute



NCI CCCC® A Comprehensive Cancer Center Designated by the National Cancer Institute

Adult Acute Leukemia was essentially incurable 45 years ago

Survey of hematologists <u>worldwide</u> for data on any patients with acute leukemia who had survived ≥ 5 years

(Burchenal JH, Murphy ML. Cancer Res 25:1491-4,1965)

- 18 adults found who survived 15 yrs
- 6 were still alive without leukemia for 5-9 years

2011: Long-term Survivors of Adult Acute Myeloid Leukemia <60 years 36% (n=421) alive ≥ 5 years 36% (n=303) continuously disease-free ≥ 5 years



CALGB 9621, 19808

(11/3/10)

Adult Acute Myeloid Leukemia 2011

 By selecting correct therapy, in some cytogenetic types of AML [e.g., acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) with t(15;17)(q22;q12)] > 80% of adults are now cured as shown by current CALGB data



Adult Acute Myeloid Leukemia 2011

- AML is now a curable disease
 35-40% of *de novo* AML <60 years are cured
 In older patients (≥60 years), 5-15% are cured
- Most important factors used to select therapy are karyotype and molecular findings
- Therapies are now being developed that target the genetic aberrations

Karyotype and molecular findings most important factors for selecting therapy in AML in 2011

- Allow improved diagnosis, prognosis and therapy
- Translation to clinic increasing
 - Current (2008) World Health Organization (WHO) classification for *de novo* AML primarily based on genetic findings
 - Inclusion in clinical practice guidelines (ELN, NCCN)
 - Routine use of molecularly targeted therapies for APL
 - Clinical trials targeting patients with mutant tyrosine kinases or epigenetic abnormalities on-going

2008 WHO Classification of AML increasingly based on cytogenetics/molecular genetics

Click to edit Master text styles WHO Second Tevel of Tumours of Haematopointhird fevel phoid Tissues

Edited by Steven H. Swerdlow, Easoaur, they level Elaine S. Jaffe, Stefano A. Pileri, Harald Stein, Jurgen Thiele, James W. Vardiman. Fifth level





- First time de novo AML first classified by specific cytogenetic/molecular findings
- For some groups diagnosis AML regardless of % blasts
- 2008 WHO increases % of AML genetically classified from ~30% to >75%

IARC: Lyon 2008

WHO 2008: AML with recurrent genetic abnormalities

- Acute promyelocytic leukemia with t(15;17)(q22;q12); PML-RARA
- AML with t(8;21)(q22;q22); RUNX1-RUNX1T1
- AML with inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22);CBFB-MYH11
 - Above AML regardless of blast count
- AML with t(9;11)(p22;q23); MLLT3-MLL

- AML with t(6;9)(p23;q34); DEK-NUP214**
- AML with inv(3)(q21q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21;q26.2); RPN1-EVI1**
- AML (megakaryoblastic) with t(1;22)(p13;q13); RBM15-MKL1**
- Provisional entity: AML with mutated NPM1**
- Provisional entity: AML with mutated CEBPA**

*CBF = Core-binding factor AML

**New group added since WHO 2001

CBF

Clinical Practice Guidelines: New Recommended Standardized Reporting for Correlation of Cytogenetic & Molecular Genetic Data with Clinical Data in AML*

Genetic Group	Subsets		
Favorable	t(8;21)(q22;q22); <i>RUNX1-RUNX1T1</i>		
	inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22); CBFB-MYH11		
	Mutated <i>CEBPA</i> (normal karyotype)		
Intermediate-I	Mutated NPM1 and FLT3-ITD (normal karyotype) Wild-type NPM1 and FLT3-ITD (normal karyotype) Wild-type NPM1 without FLT3-ITD (normal karyotype)		
Intermediate-II	t(9;11)(p22;q23): <i>MLLT3-MLL</i> ; Cytogenetic abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse		
Adverse	inv(3)(q21q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21;q26.2); RPN1-EVI1 t(6;9) (p23;q34); DEK-NUP214 t(v;11q23); MLL rearranged -5 or del(5q);-7;abn(17p); complex karyotype (≥3)		

*International expert panel recommendations on behalf of ELN (Blood 115:453-74, 2010. Epub 2009 Oct 30)

Integration of cytogenetic and molecular information leads to identification of novel molecular subsets of AML allowing individualized therapy



Gene Expression









Importance of Cytogenetic and Molecular Heterogeneity in Curing Adult AML



and the	Simmonth Simonth	2 2	Ķ	Briana	Contract of the second	
attento e		} }	17	10 11	12	
13	0000 11 11	15	16	17	20 G 18	
8 . K	20	8 M 21	22	í s	Ş	

Cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML)

CBF AML in 2011

- 13% of adult *de novo* (primary) AML
- t(8;21)(q22;q22) and inv(16)(p13q22) usually treated alike and differently from other AML

t(8;21)(q22;q22)

inv(16)(p13q22)

- With high-dose cytarabine cure increased from <10-25% to 55-60% of patients (→ELN Favorable Genetic Group)
- However, even among adults <60 yrs, 40% are not cured</p>
- Can molecular markers help us increase cure rate?
 The *KIT* gene may help

KIT in CBF AML

- KIT protein is a cell surface receptor (CD117) with tyrosine kinase (TK) activity that promotes cell proliferation and survival
- KIT gene overexpressed in CBF AML
- Activating mutations in *KIT* gene occur in CBF AML
- KIT mutations activate a complex protein-microRNA network (*miR-29b*/Sp1/NFkappaB/HDAC) that upregulates mutated *KIT* expression and increases TK activity (Marcucci/Bloomfield group Cancer Cell 17:333-47, 2010)
- We showed the adverse prognostic impact of KIT mutations in adult de novo CBF AML treated on CALGB protocols and assigned to postremission high-dose cytarabine (Paschka et al. Plenary Session ASCO 2006; JCO 24:3904-11, 2006)

CBF AML: Mutations in *KIT* **exon 17 independently predict higher relapse risk**



ASCO Plenary Session 2006; Paschka et al. JCO 24:3904-11, 2006

Clinical Guidelines: NCCN CBF-AML Recommended Therapy*



Postremission Therapy

Better Risk

Intermediate Risk

High-dose cytarabine (HiDAC) x 3-4 (1***) \rightarrow maintenance (2B) or	Matched sibling or unrelated donor HSCT or HiDAC x 1-2 \rightarrow autologous HSCT (2A)
HiDAC x 1-2 → autologous stem cell transplant (HSCT) (2B)	or HiDAC x 3-4 (2A)
or	or
Clinical trial (2A)	Clinical trial (2A)

*version 2.2011 ** JCO 24:3904-11, 2006 ***NCCN CIR=cumulative incidence of relapse

***NCCN category of evidence & consensus

Phase II Study of Chemotherapy + the Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor (TKI) Dasatinib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Core Binding Factor (CBF) AML:

AMLSG 11-08 (Germany)* CALGB 10801**



All adult patients eligible for intensive therapy, no upper age limit Cytarabine: 18-60yrs: 3g/m2, q12hr, d1-3; >60yrs: 1g/m2, q12hr, d1-3 *PI: H. Döhner, AMLSG [ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00850382] **PI: G. Marcucci, CALGB



Shortcomings of TKI therapies in KIT-driven AML

- Clinical response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors depends mostly on the nature of KIT mutations
- The type of the KIT mutation needs to be identified at the time of initial diagnosis
- Acquisition of secondary *KIT* mutations is a mechanism of resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors
- A potential "one-fits-all" strategy is to suppress KIT expression

KIT expression in t(8;21) AML patients



(Liu/Marcucci/Bloomfield group Cancer Cell 17:333-47, 2010)

Cytogenetically
Normal AML
(CN-AML)

40-45% of adults with *de novo* AML are CN-AML

Approximately 40% of CN-AML patients <60 yrs are cured with autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (auto HSCT) or 3-4 cycles of high-dose cytarabine given in first complete remission (CR1)

CN-AML is very heterogeneous molecularly

How might we use molecular information to identify the 40% of CN-AML patients cured with current therapy and develop better treatment for the rest?



SNP Profiling



Gene Profiling





Prognostic Single-gene Markers in *de novo* Adult CN-AML*

Gene Symbol	Location	Frequency	Prognostic Impact	
MLL-PTD**	11q23	5-10%	Adverse→Neutral	
FLT3-ITD***	13q12	25-35%	Adverse	
CEBPA mutations***	19q13.1	10-20%	Favorable	
NPM1 mutations***	5q35	45-65%	+/-Favorable	
WT1 mutations	11p13	~10%	Adverse	
FLT3-TKD	13q12	~10%	? Adverse	
IDH mutations (IDH1 & IDH2)	2q33.3/15q26.1	31%	+/- Adverse	
TET2 mutations	4q24 23%		+/- Adverse	
BAALC overexpression	8q22.3		Adverse	
ERG overexpression	21q22.3		Adverse	
MN1 overexpression	22q21.1		Adverse	
miR-181a overexpression	1q32.1 and 9q33.3		Favorable	

* Gene mutations reported in relatively large studies by more than one group ** First molecular prognostic marker in CN-AML

*** Recommended for study in CN-AML by WHO 2008, ELN 2009, NCCN 2011





Integration of cytogenetic and molecular information leads to identification of novel targets in CN-AML

Cytogenetics





Gene Expression



SNP Profiling







Higher *miR-181a* expression is associated with higher CR rate, and longer DFS and OS in molecular high-risk (*FLT3*-ITD and/or *NPM1* wt) CN-AML*



	CR	DFS	OS
Univariable analyses**	<i>P</i> =.009	<i>P</i> <.001	<i>P</i> <.001
Multivariable analyses***	OR: 1.6, <i>P</i> =.02	HR: 0.7, <i>P</i> =.02	HR: 0.7, <i>P</i> =.002

*CALGB 9621,19808 **continuous variable Schwind et al. JCO 28:5257-64, 2010 ***other molecular markers in model: DFS-CEBPA, NPM1, FLT3-ITD; OS-CEBPA, NPM1, WT1

Examples of stratification to molecular risk-adapted clinical trials for CN-AML



Curing Adult CN-AML in 2011

Molecular understanding of CN-AML is increasing at a rapid rate resulting in

- subgroups with apparent cure rates of >60%
- new targeted therapies (e.g., TKIs for *FLT3* mutations, ATRA for *NPM1* mutations, epigenetic therapy for *MLL*-PTD)
- Clinical trials in newly diagnosed patients based on the molecular subtype or stratify based on it
- Randomized prospective clinical trials in newly diagnosed patients on-going combining molecularly targeted therapy with chemotherapy
- Use of molecular information appears likely to substantially increase the cure rate for adult CN-AML within next 5 years

Curing AML in Adults in 2011

- 45 years of chemotherapy have changed adult AML from an incurable disease to one where substantial percentages of patients are being cured
- Karyotype and molecular findings now used for diagnosis, prognosis and increasingly for selecting therapy
- Only in APL, using molecularly targeted agents (ATRA + arsenic) with chemotherapy, are almost all patients cured
- New agents targeting specific genetic defects are becoming available and, when combined with chemotherapy and other modalities, promise to substantially increase the cure rate

Acknowledgements



Guido Marcucci

Kati Maharry Krzysztof Mrózek Susan P. Whitman Michael D. Radmacher Heiko Becker Sebastian Schwind Klaus Metzeler Jessica Kohlschmidt Peter Paschka Christian Langer Claudia D. Baldus Michael A. Caligiuri Amy Ruppert Donna Bucci Deedra Nicolet



Richard A. Larson Andrew J. Carroll Jonathan E. Kolitz Maria R. Baer